

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/582,779

Applicant(s)

POMPEJUS ET AL.

Examiner

David Guzo

Art Unit

1636

**All Participants:**(1) David Guzo(2) Daniel S. Kim**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1 July 2003**Time:** 9:20 AM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-2

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner returned a call from applicants concerning the allowability of claims 1-2. The previous Office Action indicated that claims 1-2 were allowed but the PTO-326 form indicated that they were rejected. The examiner left a message that claims 1-2 are indeed allowed and that the PTO-326 form inadvertently listed them as rejected. .